Remarks

The Applicants have amended Claim 7 to recite that there is in fact vanadium present in the steel slab and that the amount of vanadium is 0.004 - 0.3 percent. Support may be found in a variety of locations, such as in paragraph [0028] and Table 1, wherein a variety of quantities of vanadium are disclosed down to and including 0.004 percent.

The Applicants acknowledge the rejection of Claims 7 to 11 under 35 U.S.C. §103 over EP '685. The Applicants respectfully submit that Claims 7 - 11 are patentable over EP '685 for the reasons set forth below.

The Applicants first note that an important feature of Claim 7 is the value of the surface roughness (Ra), which is defined as $0.3 \mu m$ or less, to be secured through optimization of conditions concerning skin-pass rolling (roughness of rolls and rolling reduction ratios) and pickling (temperature, time, pickling solution and the like). One large difference from the prior art lies in the Applicants' finding that, as a result of defining the surface roughness as mentioned above, brittle resistance to secondary processing of a finished cold-rolled steel sheet has been improved, even when the compositions, hot-rolling conditions, cold-rolling reduction ratios and heat treatment conditions of the steel sheet of this invention are the same as those of the prior art.

It is an additional new discovery by the Applicants that, by the definition of the crystal grain size of the finished cold-rolled steel sheet as 40 μ m or less, brittle resistance to secondary processing and surface roughness are able to be further improved.

The surface roughness (Ra) as claimed herein is also important to distinguish this invention over the art. Specifically, having the appropriate surface roughness of a cold-rolled annealed sheet is effective in the improvement of brittle resistance to secondary processing of the steel sheet. Further, this invention enhances surface roughness (Ra) by adjusting the conditions of skin-pass rolling

(roughness of rolls and rolling reduction ratios) and pickling (temperature, time, pickling solution and the like) in the manufacturing process. Neither EP '685 nor JP '208 disclose that the state of surface roughness of a finished cold-rolled sheet improves brittle resistance to secondary processing of the steel sheet, although there is defined, in EP '685 and JP '208 mechanical properties and composition of a steel sheet.

The Applicants also discovered that toughness is improved by substituting a portion of Ti by V and by further adding B. On the other hand, <u>no</u> range of V content is defined in EP '685 and JP '208 (also, there are no disclosures in their Inventive Examples). Such being the case, the V content in the claims herein is defined to be from 0.004% to 0.3%.

EP '685 is based on the discovery of an improvement of a steel sheet in securing ridging resistance which is achieved by only defining the composition. In the meantime, JP '208 found that, by limiting the surface roughness Ra in a range of 0.1 to 0.5 μ m, it is possible to reduce cracking generated while processing a bellows pipe, wherein the cracking is considered to be caused by the unevenness of the surface of a steel pipe material.

Moreover, neither EP '685 nor JP '208 mention the operations that surface roughness (Ra) and a crystal grain size of a finished cold-rolled steel sheet improve the brittle resistance to secondary processing of the steel sheet. Therefore, it is not possible for a person of ordinary skill in the art to realize the claimed features of this invention from EP '685 and/or JP '208.

The Applicants therefore respectfully submit that EP '685 is inapplicable to Claims 7-11. EP '685 utterly fails to disclose, teach or suggest the claimed amount of vanadium and/or that such a claimed amount of vanadium would have any impact on the characteristics of the steels produced in accordance with the method recited in Claims 7-11. The Applicants therefore respectfully request that the rejection of Claims 7-11 based on EP '685 be withdrawn.

The Applicants acknowledge the rejection of Claim 7 under 35 U.S.C. §103 over JP '208. Again, the Applicants respectfully submit that JP '208 is inapplicable to Claim 7. As noted above, Claim 7 recites the specified amount of vanadium in an amount of 0.004 to 0.3%. JP '208 utterly fails to disclose, teach or suggest the presence of vanadium, much less the claimed amount of vanadium. JP '208 therefore inherently fails to disclose, teach or suggest the physical characteristics brought about by the claimed amount of vanadium. Withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

The Applicants acknowledge the rejection of Claims 8-10 under 35 U.S.C. §103 over the hypothetical combination of EP '685 with JP '208. Inasmuch as both of JP '208 and EP '685 utterly fail to disclose, teach or suggest the claimed amount of vanadium, any methodology or product that results from the hypothetical combination of those two references would still fail to teach or suggest the invention as recited in Claims 8-10. Withdrawal of the rejection is therefore respectfully requested.

The Applicants acknowledge the rejection of Claims 12-14 under 35 U.S.C. §103 over the further hypothetical combination of the Applicants' "admitted prior art" on pages 1-3 of the Specification with EP '685 and JP '208. The Applicants respectfully submit that hypothetically combining that "admitted prior art" with the other two references would still fail to teach or suggest the invention as recited in Claims 12-14. There would still be utterly no teaching or suggestion with respect to the claimed amount of vanadium and, therefore, inherently is a failure to disclose, teach or suggest the Applicants' physical characteristics brought about as a result of use of such vanadium in the claimed amount. Withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

Finally, the Applicants note with appreciation the Examiner's helpful comments with respect to the equations set forth in Table 1. The Applicants have substituted new equations that are

complete. Entry into the Official File is respectfully requested.

In light of the foregoing, the Applicants respectfully submit that the entire Application is now in condition for allowance, which is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

T. Daniel Christenbury Reg. No. 31,750 Attorney for Applicants

TDC:lh (215) 656-3381



Table 1

Reference	Invention	Invention	Invention	Invention	• C.E.	Invention	• C.E.	Invention	Invention	Invention	Invention	Invention	• C.B.	Invention	• C.E.	• C.E.	• C.B.	* C.B.	• C.E.							
0.05<(0.55xCu+0 .85xCo+Ni)<0.30	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.101	0.002	0.012	0.002	0.018	0.086	0.185	0.235	0.498	0.185	0.005	0.002	0.002	0.005	0.053	0.182	0.002	0.025	0.152	0.157	0.128	0.025	0.164
18 SNb/(C+N)+2(Ti 0.05<(0.55xCu+0)/(C+N)) so 85xC0+Ni)<0.30	20.69	23.18	45.08	51.15	47.77	46.25	45.73	45.08	49.18	48.01	36.57	36.58	23.20	45.08	54.10	45.08	34.31	35.45	52.50	32.36	51.90	19.27	0.02	61.54	38.54	17.83
ఫి	11 ppm	18 ppm	20 ppm	35 ppm	18 ppm	22 ppm	12 ppm	15 ppm	22 ppm	шаа 0	22 ppm	16 ррт	20 ppm	mdd 0	10 ppm	25 ppm	32 ppm	20 ppm	mdd 0	0 ppm	10 ppm	mdd 0	mdd 0	mdd 0	0 ppm	10 ppm
>	0.010	0.121	0.004	0.110	0.004	0.004	900'0	0.005	0.005	0.061	0.005	0.068	0.003	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.005	0.110	0.101	0.150	0.053	0.331	0.110	0.110	0.007	0.110
В	15 ppm	18 ppm	21 ppm	40 ppm	4 ppm	93 ppm	110 ppm	21 ppm	21 ppm	20 ppm	21 ppm	30 ppm	13 ppm	21 ppm	26 ppm	21 ppm	21 ppm	17 ppm	30 ppm	15 ppm	40 ppm	23 ppm	13 ppm	13 ррт	0 ppm	13 ррт
z	0.008	0.018	0.007	0.007	0.009	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.007	900.0	900.0	900.0	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.001	0.003	0.001	0.015	900.0	0.008	0.011	0.015
Ti	0.001	0.080	0.270	0.281	0.310	0.254	0.251	0.270	0.270	0.264	0.255	0.218	0.001	0.270	0.270	0.270	0.270	0.150	0.052	0.013	0.150	0.221	0.000	0.290	0.250	0.180
Nb	0.3300	0.3500	0.0010	9000.0	0.0010	0.0007	0.000.0	0.0010	0.0010	0.0001	0.0020	0.0030	0.2300	0.0010	0.0010	0.0010	0.0000	0.0900	0.0010	0.3300	0.0010	0.0011	0.0001	0.2200	0.0010	0.0500
Co	0.00.0	0.0010	0.0005	0.0010	0.0010	0.0005	0.0010	0.0010	0.1000	0.0400	0.1000	0.2000	0.0400	0.0040	0.0005	0.0005	0.0040	0.0030	0.2000	0.0010	0.0210	0.0200	0.0310	0.0210	0.0200	0.0500
Cu	0.0010	0.0010	0.0020	0.0010	0.0050	0.0010	0.0010	0.3000	0.0010	0.0200	0.0040	0.5100	0.0200	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.2000	0100'0	0.1100	0.0920	0.0001	0.0001	0.1310	0.2000
ï	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.100	0.001	0.012	0.001	100.0	0.001	0.150	0.150	0.300	0.150	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.050	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.130	0.131	0.110	0.001	0.110
Ν	0.002	0.003	0.001	0.002	0.002	0.006	0.002	0.003	0.002	0.004	0.003	0.003	0.013	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.002	080'0	0.210	0.030	0.001	0.021	0:030	0.033	0.020
Ç	18.0	16.5	17.8	18.0	18.0	18.1	18.0	17.8	18.0	18.1	18.0	18.0	25.0	17.8	18.1	17.8	18.0	17.6	14.8	11.8	21.0	17.1	17.0	16.8	16.9	8.6
s	0.005	0.003	0.005	900.0	0.005	0.005	0.006	0.005	0.006	0.005	0.006	0.006	0.004	0.005	0.003	0.005	0.005	0.006	0.002	0.001	0.005	0.001	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005
Ь	0.028	0.035	0.025	0.027	0.030	0.026	0.027	0.025	0.027	0.025	0.024	0.024	0.023	0.025	0.022	0.025	0.025	0.026	0.013	0.010	0.010	0.230	0.018	0.018	0.023	0.015
Mn	0.30	0.30	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.14	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.14	0.13	0.13	0.09	0.15	0.21	0.15	0.05	0.080	0.01	0.31	0.11	0.11	0.12	0.11	0.20	1.00
S.	0.40	0.10	90.0	0.11	0.10	0.11	0.11	90.0	0.11	0.10	0.11	0.11	0.19	90.0	90.0	0.04	90.0	0.22	0.40	0.81	0.08	10.0	0.21	0.22	0.08	0.12
U	0.008	0.004	0.005	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.005	0.004	0.005	900.0	0.006	0.003	0.005	0.003	0.005	0.009	0.004	0.001	0.008	0.005	0.008	0.005	0.005	0.002	0.008
Š	7	A2	Α3	A4	AS	9V	LY Y	A8	Α9	AIO	All	AI2	A13	A14	A15	A16	A17	AII	A19	A20	A21	A22	A23	A24	A25	A26

*C.E. = Comparative Example